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ABSTRACT:

Technology has its own way of communication to its surrounding and the need leads to the innovation. In this Paper, we have given emphasis on the web Data with the communicating to the database, which we call, is as in the terminology of data mining as ontology of Information. Database record linkage systems are well suited to handle the co reference resolution issue, but they do not take account of specific properties of ontological data, such as hierarchical relations between classes and specific data restrictions. The Semantic Web is used for many purposes from a standardized way to markup metadata to describe digital resources to a new growing movement favoring the open and shared expression of common ontologies. Today's industry need to implement the web service in the process of light, high computer efficiency and lastly which we most time take to robustness proving all is the demanding trend, Hence we provide a collaborative model in the data center and the web service module to implement all client based requirement starting from the most basic one is the web service.
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I.INTRODUCTION

In the Aspect of Introducing the web based database annotation; Ontologies have been often considered as a whole: data-level problems have been mostly treated as auxiliary and usually tackled together with schema-level matching. The primary reason was that, until the emergence of the Linked Data initiative, there was a lack of substantial volumes of semantic data covering overlapping domains, and, therefore, there was no specific need to focus on the data-level integration issues. In Web, service combinatory are language constructs providing the programmer with an opportunity to mimic the behavior of a web surfer when a fail occurs while retrieving a web page. In essence the constructs makes predefined algorithmic behavior scriptable like handling reloading of pages, retrying of requests, termination of
requests taking too long, etc. The markup algebra allows for the extraction and manipulation of data from web pages with the help of algebraic operations on set of markup elements, so called piece-sets.

![Search Engine Diagram](image)

**Fig. 1.1. Illustration of the Search Engine**

After retrieving and parsing the page a piece can be defined as a contiguous text region in a document, identified by the starting and the ending position of the region. We can imagine positions as indices that indicate a character offset in the page. Pieces within piece-sets may overlap, be nested, or may belong to different pages. However, unlike mathematical sets that do not impose a particular ordering on their elements, piece-sets are always in a canonical representation in which pieces are ordered according to their starting position, and then their ending position in the document.

**II. RELATED WORK**

When new data is included into the ontology during the annotation (e.g. persons and places) it can be easily reused, when in contrast text-based annotations have to be created manually from the beginning until the end, no matter how many annotated items there are. Text-based annotation can however be applied to any domain simply by inserting the annotation text into one annotation field, when in contrast ontology-based annotation requires an ontology that is designed for the subject domain. Also, an ontology-based annotation schema has to be created according to the used ontology (or ontologies), and if the design principles of the ontology are modified, the annotation schema has to be modified accordingly: handling of the changes in ontologies is a great task that is yet undone.
Fig.2.1. Related Model of the Keyword Categorization

In the fig.2.1; the reason to the outranking precision of structure-based search and the recommendation system is the fact that the actual annotation process captures the annotators’ intentions implicitly, which is not the case when text-based retrieval is applied to text based annotations. When the same ontology that is used in the annotation is used in the retrieval, intersection, union, and difference can be deterministically applied to sets of categories, directly or via different relations. These were used in two ways in the case example, automatically as embedded functionality, and as retriever’s selections. As embedded functionality, union was used in inheritance of the annotations, and difference and intersection in visualizing and constraining the search space.

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The issue with today’s crowd sourcing systems is that they have a lot of inefficiencies. To ensure high-quality annotations, multiple workers have to annotate the same image. These impacts the scalability of crowd sourcing, as annotating very large datasets can become prohibitively expensive. Moreover, some annotators are better at certain tasks than others (i.e., there are some experts” in the crowd), so their time should be focused very carefully. There are also some tasks on which computer vision algorithms could possibly perform quite well, especially with a lot of training examples. For example, if the task is to count cells in tissue samples, a modern object detector could be trained to perform with quite high accuracy. Then, instead of annotating all the data, a human worker could simply aid the machine by correcting mistakes. Today, those tasks are still done by humans, even though they may be very mundane, impacting the overall motivation of the workers in the crowd. Annotations can be applied at different levels of resolution.

Fig.3.1. Architectural Diagram of the Keyword Search

In the mechanism web Interface with data integration and making it accessible through HTML is one of the minor aspect in today’s market of IT, but we concentrate on the some aspect where integration with annotation makes lead to the technological innovation which we put forward in the methodology. Until recently, the Semantic
Web community has concentrated efforts on the schema matching problem. Now, with a constantly increasing amount of RDF data being published according to the Linked Data standards, the problem of instance-level integration is gaining importance. Dealing with RDF data sources distributed over the Web requires solving a fundamental problem of representing and managing information about URIs referring to identical entities. There are different possibilities, and several proposals have been put forward within the research community.

IV. EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS

We have utilized inter-document similarity to provide a means for measuring the quality of subtopic categorization performed by human editorial assessors specifically for diversity-related retrieval tasks.

Fig. 3.1.1. Comparison of the Visitor to the Keyword from Stack

This may be necessary in order to gain more understanding on the relationship between the judged and the perceived inter-document similarity measures. Performing a comparative evaluation for our subtopic mining approaches with respect to query and click logs method is challenging. Consequently, it would be difficult to ascertain their quality unless they are compared directly with the query logs method. Research that explores these alternative approaches in conjunction with the query logs mining method on the same document collection will provide a better comparative result for the effectiveness of all the approaches.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In the method of partitioning keyword and resources to ensure that only those that shared the same context were integrated. We extended this model with the ability to specify ontology inclusion, so that content providers could describe their own information needs while still reusing existing ontologies. This allows us to increase the integration of distributed resources, as is done with extended ontology perspectives. Web Interface is UI part where data source most of time would be from the database, but semantically if we have same data where search engine like google have its own algorithmic approach to provide the best of the mechanism to the user. Hence in this paper we put forward for the future aspect of the technology to provide ontology based mechanism of the best of the information in the ASCII mechanism. Semantic Web data combine features of both relational databases and symbolic logical knowledge bases.
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